

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement, the applicant elects Species 6 and identifies claims 4, 7, 8, 12, 13, and 15-17 as reading on the elected species, with traverse.

PCT Rule 13.1 does not mention unity of invention as between different species of a generic invention. In the present application, the species are found in dependent claims and under Annex B to the Administrative Instructions (MPEP Appendix A1), no problem of unity arises in respect to claims that depend on independent claims. For these reasons, it is requested that this requirement be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,



James V. Costigan
Registration No. 25,669

Hedman & Costigan, P.C.
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 302-8989.